

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
7

8 RONALD ROBKER, *et al.*,

Case No. 2:25-cv-00385-GMN-NJK

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

**Scheduling Order**

11 LASKO PRODUCTS, LLC,

12 Defendant.

13 Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 20.

14 The parties seek an extended discovery period of 365 days because the case "will involve  
15 complex scientific and engineering issues, with multiple experts." *Id.* at 2. The Court finds that  
16 good cause has been established for such extended discovery period. However, the parties'  
17 calculations are not correct. *See id.* at 2. Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is **GRANTED**  
18 in part and **DENIED** in part. Docket No. 20.

19 Case management deadlines are hereby **SET** as follows:<sup>1</sup>

- 20 • Initial disclosures: June 4, 2025  
21 • Amend pleadings/add parties: January 28, 2026  
22 • Initial experts: February 27, 2026  
23 • Rebuttal experts: March 30, 2026  
24 • Discovery cutoff: April 28, 2026  
25 • Dispositive motions: May 28, 2026  
26

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> Defendant first appeared on April 25, 2025. Docket No. 13. Case management deadlines are calculated from that date.

- Joint proposed pretrial order: June 29, 2026, 30 days after resolution of dispositive motions, or by further Court Order

Due to the lengthy discovery period granted herein, the Court is not inclined to extend discovery deadlines.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 2, 2025



---

Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge